

Proposal Title :	UMINA BEACH, 303-305 O	cean Beach Road	
Proposal Summary :	 The planning proposal seeks to enable development for the purposes of a service station including a convenience store, food and drink premises and car wash on land at Lot 1 & 2 8861, 303-305 Ocean Beach Road, Umina Beach to facilitate the redevelopment of an adjoir service station site. Council proposes to amend Gosford LEP 2014 by: rezoning the land from R2 Low Density Residential to B5 Business Development; apply a floor space ratio of 1:1 to the land; and remove the 550m2 minimum lot size applying to the land. 		and car wash on land at Lot 1 & 2 DP ate the redevelopment of an adjoining LEP 2014 by: 5 Business Development;
PP Number :	PP_2015_GOSFO_002_00	Dop File No :	15/03788
oposal Details			Scolo
Date Planning Proposal Received :	20-Feb-2015	LGA covered :	Gosford
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Gosford City Council
State Electorate :	GOSFORD	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
ocation Details			
Street : 30	3-305 Ocean Beach Road		
Suburb : Un	n ina Beach City	<i>ı</i> :	Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lo	t 1 DP 8861 and Lot 2 DP 8861		
DoP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Glenn Hornal		
Contact Number :	0243485009		
Contact Email :	glenn.hornal@planning.nsw	.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Brian McCourt		
Contact Number :	0243258260		
Contact Email :	brian.mccourt@gosford.nsw	v.gov.au	
DoP Project Mana	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Central Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	No
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	5
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of	t Yes		

Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :

If No, comment :

Have there been No meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :

If Yes, comment :

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes :

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

Council's objective is to rezone land adjoining an existing service station to enable its redevelopment.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :	Council has advised it seeks to amend Gosford LEP 2014 to: - rezone the land from R2 to B5 (the same zone as the adjoining service station); - amend the floor space ratio from 0.5 to 1:1;	
	- remove the minimum lot size (550m2) applying to the land. - retain the existing 8.5m maximum building height.	
	Council should identify the property description of the lots in the explanation of provisions (i.e Lot 1 DP 8861 and Lot 2 DP 8861).	

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- **1.1 Business and Industrial Zones**
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Unknown

If No, explain :

1.1 Business and Industrial Zones

The proposal seeks to expand an 'out of centre' business zone and does not support the viability of an identified strategic centre and is therefore inconsistent with the direction. The expansion of the B5 zone is a modest increase to enable the development. The B5 zone was applied by Council to the service station site in order to recognise the existing business use. Adopting a B1 or B2 zoning, which is used in Council's centres hierarchy, would create the potential for a new centre at the site and could undermine the identified strategic village centre at Umina Beach. It is considered the B5 zone is appropriate for the expansion and the inconsistency with the direction is of minor significance.

3.1 Residential Zones

The planning proposal must not contain provisions that will reduce the permissible residential density of the land. The proposal will rezone 2 residential lots for business purposes and is therefore inconsistent with this direction. It is considered the loss of 2 residential lots would not adversely affect future residential supply in Gosford LGA and the the Secretary could agree the inconsistency is of minor significance.

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

Council has advised the proposal is consistent with the Direction however the business use is not located in an existing centre. The service station is located on a major transport route (Ocean Beach Road) and currently services passing vehicular traffic and Council considers it will not influence the movement of vehicles from its 'out of centre' location. The inconsistency with the Direction is considered minor given the business uses proposed would be unlikely to compete or undermine existing neighborhood and village centres in the vicinity.

4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils

Council has advised the an acid sulfate soils study is required. Council should address the terms of the direction following provision of the information on acid sulfate soils.

4.3 Flood Prone Land

The two lots to be rezoned are affected by catchment flooding on a small potion of the lots in the front setback. Given the minor encroachment of catchment flooding on the site and the additional local provisions in Gosford LEP 2014 7.2 Flood Planning and 7.3 Flood Risk Management which require future development to consider flood impacts it is considered the Secretary could agree the inconsistency is of minor significance.

5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

	The draft Gosford Centres Strategy 2008 informed centres the existing service station site was identified in the strate zoned to B5 to recognize the existing business use but we typologies and B5 was considered the most appropriate z an arterial road, (i.e Ocean Beach Road). The expansion of the redevelopment of the service station site is still consis	egy (page 62). The site was as not within Council's centre cone given the site's location on of the B5 zone to accommodate
Need for planning proposal :	Council has advised the planning proposal is not the resu report.	ult of any strategic study or
Assessment Criteri	a	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The proposal will amend Gosford LEP 2014.	
Due Date :		
Principal LEP:		
oposal Assessmen	t	
If No, comment :		
	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
Overall adequacy o		
	DELEGATIONS Council has requested delegations to make the plan.	This is supported.
	Council anticipates completion of the LEP in January 12 month timeframe is recommended and would allow if there are any delays over the end of year holiday per	v some flexibility in the timeframe
If Yes, reasons :	TIMELINE	
Are there any addition	al Director General's requirements? Yes	
Additional Director	· General's requirements	
Comment :	Council proposes an exhibition period of 28 days. Giv the planning proposal should be exhibited for a minim	
Has community consu	Itation been proposed? Yes	
Community consul	ltation - s55(2)(e)	
Comment :	Council maps are adequate for assessment however e development standards maps should be included the	
Is mapping provided?	Yes	
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	91
	expansion of the the B5 zone to accommodate the pro 5 additional jobs and assist in meeting regional emplo the uses proposed (i.e service station, food and drink car wash) would not undermine existing neighborhoo given they are zoned B1 and B2 and the expansion of accommodate the service station redevelopment. It is of minor significance.	opposed development would deliver oyment targets. Council considers premises, convenience store and d and village centres in the vicinity the B5 zone is required to to
	The CCRS contains a number of actions in relation to Action 5.11 sought to ensure new retail and commerc centres but recognised some convenience retailing m	ial development is located in

		ess use by using a zone that won't unde ne Pine Avenue or the B2 Local Centre a	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	Council has advised targets in the CCRS a CCRS also encourag existing and propose	EGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS) the planning proposal will assist Coun and the proposal is consistent with this les new retail and commercial developr ad B5 zoned land is not located in an id e CCRS in this regard.	broad goal. However the nent to locate in centres. The
	enable the developm and drink premises a B2 zoned land at Lor needs of local reside	B5 zone equates to an additional 1013 ent. The uses proposed i.e service sta and car wash are not likely to adversely be Pine Avenue and Umina Beach giver ents within the broader catchment and i commercial uses. The inconsistency w	ation, convenience store, food affect the viability of the B1 or a these centres service the nclude a wide range of other
	Council has identifie	MUNITY STRATEGIC PLAN (CSP) d a number of objectives and strategies idicates the proposal is generally consi	
Environmental social economic impacts :	public reserve to the the site is located wit and the existing serv	I Woodland, an endangered ecological west of the site however is not identifi- thin an existing urban area and is boun rice station and used for residential dev It in minimal environmental impacts.	ed on the subject site. Given ded by roads, Council reserve
		C sidered to be generally positive in term y that will be created as a result of the	
	site is located on Oce	it seeks to consult with the Roads and ean Beach Road and consultation with ansion of an out of centre business use	the RMS is supported given it
Assessment Proces	ŝS		
Proposal type :	Inconsistent	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Transport for NSW - I	Roads and Maritime Services	
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matte	r proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Timeline.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report.pdf	Proposal	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
	3.1 Residential Zones
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Additional Information :	The planning proposal should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Prior to undertaking public exhibition Council is to update the Planning Proposal to:
	• update the 'explanation of provisions' to identify the property descriptions Lot 1 DP
	8861 and Lot 2 DP 8861; and
	 include existing and proposed zone and development standards maps.
	2. Council is required to demonstrate consistency with the S117 Directions 4.1 Acid
	Sulfate Soils after supporting information has been obtained.
	3. Council is to ensure that the planning proposal satisfies the requirements of State
	Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) 55 – Remediation of Land. If required, Council is to
	prepare an initial site contamination investigation report to demonstrate that the site is
	suitable for rezoning to the proposed zone. This report is to be included as part of the
	public exhibition material.
	4. The Secretary agrees that the provisions of the planning proposal that are
	inconsistent with S117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 3.1 Residential
	Zones, 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.3 Flood Prone Land and 5.1
	Implementation of Regional Strategies are of minor significance.
	5. Consultation is required with the following public authorities:
	- Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services.
	6. 12 months timeframe.
	7. 14 day exhibition period.

IMINA BEACH, 303-305 Ocean Beach Road		
Supporting Reasons :	8. Council be granted delegation to make the plan. *	
Signature:	Ben Holmer	
Printed Name:	BEN HOLMES Date: 19/5/15	